

Sheffield Accountable Care Partnership
Shaping Sheffield Plan
18/06/2019

Author(s)	Kathryn Robertshaw, Joint Interim ACP Director
Sponsor	All ACP CEX
1. Purpose	
The ACP Team have been working alongside partner organisations, workstream leads and Strategy Directors to draft the refreshed "Shaping Sheffield: The Plan". The plan is strategically rooted within the Health and Well-Being strategy and helps deliver the HWB strategy, as reflected in the document.	
2. Introduction / Background	
<p>The plan has been updated to reflect:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feedback from the ACP EDG and Health and Wellbeing Board (May 2019) • Feedback from all partner organisations at executive level/ senior management level • Feedback from Healthwatch and the ACP Service User Advisory Group • Contributions made from individuals and teams right across the system <p>The document very much represents a collective effort, with sections written from different teams/ individuals across the city. There are two documents presented here</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Shaping Sheffield – The Plan– aimed at the leadership community setting out the strategic goals • Delivery Plan – incorporating the detail of delivery - 1 page programme plans, partner/ system objective alignment <p>At the end of this process a summary document for public consumption and staff will be produced.</p> <p>It is important that the Board secures clear commitment that what is presented is agreed by all partners at the table, before it moves into the formal sign off process at the health and Wellbeing Board and Partner Organisation Boards.</p> <p>Over the next few weeks it is imperative that we ensure Shaping Sheffield and Integrated Commissioning are fully aligned.</p> <p>Two reflections are offered back to the Board through this process:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There was significant feedback on the original plan regarding whether we were ambitious enough in the document. The document has been amended. We now need to ensure we are ambitious in our delivery and ways of working together and challenge ourselves on this. • Some areas of the plan were easier to corral than others, reflecting different levels of 	

strategic and operational system working across the city. On some delivery priority areas identified it still feels that the ACP team are “holding the ring” to bring colleagues together, rather than colleagues across the system working easily together. In other areas, there is genuinely a system team working on priorities, and the ACP team has a rightly more peripheral role. This reflects the considerable cultural journey we are taking with this work.

3. Is your report for Approval / Consideration / Noting

Consideration and approval

4. Recommendations / Action Required

4.1 Confirm full partner support and ownership of the plan

4.2 Note timetable for final sign off through system and partner boards. The following was agreed at EDG:

ACP Board	21st June
Health and Well-Being Board	27th June
Partner Boards	June/ July Boards
ICS – for information	Q2 review date

Are there any Resource Implications (including Financial, Staffing etc.)?

Not at this stage